UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,629	04/12/2007	Peter Gaal	030263	9203
	7590 09/30/201 INCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		ISSING, GREGORY C	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/554,629	GAAL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory C. Issing	3662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matter		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-61 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34-44,47-52,54-59 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 45, 46, 53 and 60 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	and 61 is/are withdrawn from	consideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific to by the I are specifically the specific to be specifically the specific to by the I are specifically the specific to be specifically the sp	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)) .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appiority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3662

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/16/10 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-33 have been cancelled in the prosecution history. Applicants' list of claims incorrectly lists the status of the claims as "withdrawn."
- 3. The allowed invention was directed to a method and apparatus for "evaluating the validity of previously obtained acquisition assistance data for use in acquiring a particular signal" by comparing lists of base stations. The newly drawn claims are directed to a different and separate invention for "evaluating location-sensitive information" by comparing lists of base stations. Since the applicants have received an examination on the previous invention, the claims drawn solely to "evaluating the validity of location sensitive information" are withdrawn as being directed to a separate invention. Applicants cannot file an RCE to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined as a matter of right, see 37 CFR 1.145. Any newly submitted claims that are directed to an invention that is independent and distinct from the invention previously claimed will be withdrawn from consideration and not entered.
- 4. The claims represent a distinct invention since it is not evident from the specification that evaluating a validity of previously obtained acquisition assistance data for use in acquiring a particular signal is the same as evaluating a validity of previously obtained location sensitive

Art Unit: 3662

information. The specification describes "location-sensitive information" as BS almanac or repeater almanac [00073].

- 5. Claims 45, 46, 53 and 60 are pending.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 45, 46, 53 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Each of the claims concludes with the step of "evaluating a validity". This amounts to a mental judgement with no particular meaning and no particular result. Previously, the claims recited the particular result for acquiring a particular signal. However as written now, there is no particular meaning to "evaluating a validity."
- 9. In view of the desire for compact prosecution, the following is provided. Should applicants prove that the claims are not independent and distinct, it is noted that claims 34-38, 46, 47, and 55-61 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 10. Based upon consideration of all of the relevant factors with respect to the claim as a whole, claim(s) 34-38, 46 and 47 are held to claim an abstract idea, and is therefore rejected as ineligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The rationale for this finding is explained below: the claims fail the machine or transformation test and the mechanism by which the method is implemented is subjective or imperceptible since it represents a mental activity of looking at two lists and making a judgement.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,629 Page 4

Art Unit: 3662

11. Based upon consideration of all of the relevant factors with respect to the claim as a whole, claim(s) 55-61 are held to be no statutory since it is not clear what statutory category the claim is intended to be. The claim sets forth "an apparatus," but lacks any structural limitations since the body of the claims merely sets out functional steps.

- 12. Moreover, for reasons set forth with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd par., should applicants prove that the all of the claims are not independent and distinct, it is noted that claims 34-44, 47-52 and 54-59 and 61 would also be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd par.
- 13. Lastly, as the instantly withdrawn claims merely obtain lists of base stations and compare the lists (since the step of evaluating is nothing more than a mental judgement, the document cited by the applicants (EP 0541026) would anticipate the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory C. Issing whose telephone number is (571)-272-6973. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (571)-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,629 Page 5

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregory C. Issing/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662

gci